

Facts

custom writings

First of all: why universal? First of all, due to the fact that for their successful writing, you must use all your skills and knowledge, your logic and ability to issue facts so that they come up under the essence of the task.

At first glance, the 23rd task may seem pretty simple, but this is just the first impression. Basically, it sets a specific situation and typical questions for it: list three reasons / name three individuals / explain why so happened so and so on. It is rightfully considered the most insidious on the exam, because often the requested question can be interpreted in different ways.

For example: List 3 reasons for the legitimacy of power to establish Power by Lhadmitriy I. It would seem that everything is simple - the recognition of his power by the boyars, the Cossacks and Polish gentles. But under this simplicity, there is a special catch: the recognition of a falsehood from Polish gentry from the point of view of the boyars is not legitimate and vice versa, Polish gentles would not recognize legitimacy from the boyar, because in this historic gap they were political rivals. That is, even if there are the right facts, you risk engage in conflict with yourself when answering and simply lose your score due to the fact that the expert quotes you one false fact. Do not risk and always consider the terms of the task from a particular side and position, because there are many such cunning tricks from the compilers of the EGE in 23 tasks.

When he was mentioned, even among the Olympiants and Radlets, the knees begin to tremble. The most time to the most time and unpredictable task on which students lose the most points. At first glance, not so complicated - you need to list 2 arguments for and against any situation. The complexity consists in the formulation of a task that can confuse and not in the direction. Here the fundamental method of struggle is your logic, since each time the situation provided to you will be unique and to fill your hand in similar examples you will simply fail. Here are some recommendations how to cope with 24 tasks:

Re-read the task several times and disperse what the compilers want from you

Always write more arguments than just 2. You can write 5, and 8, the points for it will not be reduced, and the likelihood of entering the apple will increase.

When studying the material to the exam, always allocate pros and cons of important events and phenomena. For example: the task will ask for arguments to and against the fact that the agricultural reform of Stolypin was antikrestian. Accordingly, the arguments for this statement will be minus reform, and against - its advantages. It will be difficult to highlight it in a solid text, so I advise you to use tables and schemes.

Facts, facts and again the facts! The task is not required to write as much words as possible, so the more specifically and in short, your answers based on facts (dates, events, personalities) - the better.

Use logic! Sometimes, the events are not so important and at first it seems that they are completely unrelated, but remember everything is interconnected in history, therefore, conduct logical chains from phenomenon to phenomenon, from personality to personality and then even in the cultural sphere you can find an argument under political or economic statement.